

## 2. METHOD OF TYPES

The *method of types* refers to a combinatorial argument addressing random variables taking values in a finite alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|\Sigma|}\}$ , which made its debut in Example 1.1 and will be revisited in this section in a systematic and more purely combinatorial fashion.

To demonstrate the argument, we consider the case with i.i.d. random variables  $(Y_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  taking values in  $\Sigma$ . By writing  $M_1(\Sigma)$  (which can be identified as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{|\Sigma|}$ ) to mean the space of all probability measures (laws) on  $\Sigma$  and assuming the law of for each  $Y_i$  is  $\mu \in M_1(\Sigma)$ , we denote by  $P_\mu$  the joint law of  $(Y_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ , i.e., the probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The *empirical measure (law)*  $L_n^{\mathbf{y}}$  of a finite sequence  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \Sigma^n$  is the measure in  $M_1(\Sigma)$  that catalogs the proportion of occurrences of  $a_i$ 's; namely,  $L_n^{\mathbf{y}} = (L_n^{\mathbf{y}}(a_1), \dots, L_n^{\mathbf{y}}(a_N))$  with

$$L_n^{\mathbf{y}}(a_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{a_i}(y_j).$$

Below are some related notions.

- (support of measure  $\nu$ )  $\Sigma_\nu = \{a_i \in \Sigma : \nu(a_i) > 0\}$ .
- (empirical distribution associated with  $\mathbf{y}$ )  $\mathcal{L}_n = \{L_n^{\mathbf{y}} : \mathbf{y} \in \Sigma^n\}$ .
- (sequences associated law  $\nu$ )  $T_n(\nu) = \{\mathbf{y} : L_n^{\mathbf{y}} = \nu\}$  for  $\nu \in M_1(\Sigma)$ .
- (variational distance) For  $\nu, \nu' \in M_1(\Sigma)$ ,  $d_V(\nu, \nu') := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \Sigma} |\nu(a) - \nu'(a)|$ .

We have the following fundamental estimates of the size of  $T_n(\nu)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_n$ .

**Lemma 2.2.**

- (1)  $|T_n(\nu)| = \binom{n}{n\nu(a_1), n\nu(a_2), \dots, n\nu(a_N)}$ .
- (2)  $1 \leq \mathcal{L}_n \leq (n+1)^{|\Sigma|}$ .

*Proof.* (1) It follows from a combinatorial argument. (2) Note that

$$n \cdot \mathcal{L}_n = \left\{ (k_a)_{a \in \Sigma} : k_a \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \sum_{a \in \Sigma} k_a = n \right\},$$

where the latter set has cardinality

$$\binom{n + |\Sigma| - 1}{n} = \prod_{i=1}^{|\Sigma|-1} \frac{n+i}{i} \leq (n+1)^{|\Sigma|}$$

as desired.  $\square$

In the following, we estimate the large deviation probabilities.

**Definition 2.3** (entropy). Let  $\nu, \eta \in M_1(\Sigma)$ .

- The *entropy* of  $\nu \in M_1(\Sigma)$  is defined as

$$H(\nu) = - \sum_{a \in \Sigma} \nu(a) \log \nu(a).$$

- The *relative entropy* of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\eta$  is defined as

$$H(\nu|\eta) = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} \nu(a) \log \frac{\nu(a)}{\eta(a)}.$$

We adopt the convention that  $0 \log 0 = 0$  and  $0 \log \frac{0}{0} = 0$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $M_1(\Sigma)$  be endowed with the variational distance. Then,

- (1)  $M_1(\mu)$  is compact.
- (2)  $H(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $M_1(\Sigma)$
- (3)  $H(\cdot|\mu)$  is continuous on the compact set  $\{\nu \in M_1(\Sigma) : \Sigma_\nu \subseteq \Sigma_\mu\}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** If  $\mathbf{y} \in T_n(\nu)$ , then the following hold.

- (1)  $P_\mu((Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \mathbf{y}) = e^{-n(H(\nu)+H(\nu|\mu))}$ .
- (2)  $(n+1)^{-|\Sigma|} e^{nH(\nu)} \leq T_n(\nu) \leq e^{nH(\nu)}$ .
- (3)  $(n+1)^{-|\Sigma|} e^{-nH(\nu|\mu)} \leq P_\mu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} = \nu) \leq e^{-nH(\nu|\mu)}$ .

*Proof.* (1) The case  $\Sigma_\nu \subseteq \Sigma_\mu$  is trivial, as  $P_\mu((Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \mathbf{y}) = 0$  and  $H(\nu|\mu) = -\infty$ . Assuming  $\Sigma_\nu \subseteq \Sigma_\mu$ , we have by definition that

$$P_\mu((Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \mathbf{y}) = \prod_{a \in \Sigma} \mu(a)^{n\nu(a)} = e^{-n(H(\nu)+H(\nu|\mu))}.$$

(2) The second inequality follows immediately from the following:

$$1 \geq P_\nu((Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in T_n(\nu)) = e^{-nH(\nu)} |T_n(\nu)|.$$

To prove the first, we claim that if  $\Sigma_{\nu'} \subseteq \Sigma_\nu$ , then

$$\frac{P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in T_n(\nu))}{P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in T_n(\nu'))} = \prod_{a \in \Sigma} \frac{|T_n(\nu)| \nu(a)^{n\nu(a)}}{|T_n(\nu')| \nu(a)^{n\nu'(a)}} = \prod_{a \in \Sigma} \frac{(n\nu'(a))!}{(n\nu(a))!} \nu(a)^{n\nu(a)-n\nu'(a)} \geq 1.$$

Indeed, the second last expression is of the form  $\frac{m!}{\ell!} \left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right)^{\ell-m}$  and the inequality is validated using the fact  $\frac{m!}{\ell!} \geq \ell^{m-\ell}$ .

$$\frac{P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in T_n(\nu))}{P_{\nu'}(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in T_n(\nu))} \geq n^{n[\sum_{a \in \Sigma} \nu'(a) - \sum_{a \in \Sigma} \nu(a)]} = 1.$$

To prove the the desired inequality, observe that

$$1 = \sum_{\nu' \in \mathcal{L}_n} P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} = \nu') \leq |\mathcal{L}_n| P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} = \nu) = |\mathcal{L}_n| e^{-nH(\nu)} |T_n(\nu)|.$$

The proof is finished by applying Lemma 2.2.

(3) Combining (1) and (2), we arrive at the estimate

$$P_\mu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} = \nu) = |T_n(\nu)| P_\mu((Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \mathbf{y}) \leq |T_n(\nu)| e^{-n(H(\nu)+H(\nu|\mu))} = e^{-n(H(\nu|\mu))},$$

whereas the other inequality follows naturally.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6** (Sanov). For every set of probability vectors in  $M_1(\Sigma)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\inf_{\nu \in \Gamma} H(\nu|\mu) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_\mu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in \Gamma) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_\mu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in \Gamma) \leq -\inf_{\nu \in \Gamma} H(\nu|\mu). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.5, we obtain the upper bound for the probability in question:

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in \Gamma) &= \sum_{\nu \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}_n} P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} = \nu) \leq |\Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}_n| e^{-n \inf_{\nu \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}_n} H(\nu|\mu)} \\ &\leq (n+1)^{|\Sigma|} e^{-n \inf_{\nu \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}_n} H(\nu|\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

yielding immediately the proposed upper bound:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in \Gamma) \leq -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\nu \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}_n} H(\nu|\mu) \leq -\inf_{\nu \in \Gamma} H(\nu|\mu).$$

To prove the lower bound, we begin with an analogous estimate

$$P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in \Gamma) = \sum_{\nu \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}_n} P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} = \nu) \geq (n+1)^{-|\Sigma|} e^{-n \inf_{\nu \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}_n} H(\nu|\mu)}.$$

Since  $\sup_{\nu \in M_1(\Sigma)} d_V(\nu, \mathcal{L}_n) \leq \frac{|\Sigma|}{2n}$ , for every  $\nu \in \mathring{\Gamma}$  with  $\Sigma_\nu \subset \Sigma_\mu$ , one can find for every sufficiently large  $n$  a  $\nu_n \in \mathring{\Gamma} \cap \mathcal{L}_n$  such that  $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$  and that  $\Sigma_{\nu_n} \subset \Sigma_\mu$ . Hence, by continuity of the relative entropy,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_\nu(L_n^{\mathbf{Y}} \in \Gamma) \geq -\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\nu_n|\mu) \geq -H(\nu|\mu).$$

This proves the theorem, for  $\nu \in \mathring{\Gamma}$  is arbitrary as long as  $\Sigma_\nu \subset \Sigma_\mu$  and  $H(\nu|\mu) = \infty$  whenever  $\Sigma_\nu \not\subset \Sigma_\mu$ .  $\square$

As an application of Sanov's theorem, a version of Cramér's theorem concerning the large deviations of the empirical mean of i.i.d. random variables is proved. Let  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function and consider the large deviation principle of the empirical average  $\hat{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f(Y_i)$ . For convenience, we identify  $f$  as  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^\Sigma$  so we may write  $\hat{S}_n = \langle L_n^{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{f} \rangle$  as an inner product. Sanov's theorem then imply the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.7** (Cramér). *For any set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} -\inf_{\nu \in \mathring{A}} I(x) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_\mu(\hat{S}_n \in A) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_\mu(\hat{S}_n \in A) \leq -\inf_{\nu \in \Gamma} I(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $I(x) = \inf_{\nu: \langle \nu, \mathbf{f} \rangle = x} H(\nu|\mu)$ . The rate function  $I(x)$  is continuous on the interval  $[\min_{a \in \Sigma} f(a), \max_{a \in \Sigma} f(a)]$  and satisfies there

$$I(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} [\lambda x - \Lambda(\lambda)],$$

where

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = \log \sum_{a \in \Sigma} \mu(a) e^{\lambda f(a)}.$$